

LOW-ENERGY FORMULAS FOR NEUTRINO MASSES WITH $\tan\beta$ -DEPENDENT HIERARCHY

M.K.Parida

High Energy Physics Group,

International Centre for Theoretical Physics, I-34100 Trieste, Italy

and

Physics Department, North-Eastern Hill University, P.O.Box 21, Laitumkhrah

Shillong 793 003, India

N.Nimai Singh

Physics Department, Gauhati University, Guwahati 781 014, India

(October 13, 1997)

Abstract

Using radiative corrections and seesaw mechanism, we derive analytic formulas for neutrino masses at low energies in SUSY unified theories exhibiting a new hierarchial relation, for the first time, among them. The new hierarchy is found to be quite significant especially for smaller values of $\tan\beta$.

12.10.Dm, 12.60.Jv

Unification of gauge and Yukawa couplings in supersymmetric grand unified theories and their predictions have received considerable attention over the last decades [1-4]. In addition to solving the well-known gauge hierarchy problem, SUSY unified theories based upon $N = 1$ supergravity, or originating from superstrings, provide an elegant method of unification with gravity. Independent of these, the problem of neutrino masses has intrigued experimental as well as theoretical physicists since more than sixty years. If a unified theory, based upon supergravity or superstrings, is to be accepted as the ultimate fundamental theory of nature, fermion masses including those of neutrinos have to emerge as necessary predictions of such a theory. The simplest and the most elegant method of obtaining neutrino masses is through seesaw mechanism [5] leading to the Majorana masses of left-handed neutrinos:

$$m_{\nu_i} = C_{\nu_i} \frac{m_i^2}{M_N}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \quad (1)$$

where ν_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) = $(\nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau)$ and m_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) = $(m_u, m_c, m_{\text{top}})$. With $C_{\nu_i} \simeq 1$, the canonical seesaw formula is valid at the scale ($\mu = M_X$) where, due to spontaneous symmetry breaking, a higher gauge symmetry such as SUSY SO(10), or one of its intermediate gauge groups, breaks down to the SUSY standard model and the right-handed Majorana neutrinos acquire mass M_N (assumed degenerate). But the limits on neutrino masses extending over wide range of values, are estimated either from cosmology or experimental measurements like tritium β -decay, neutrinoless double β -decay, solar and atmospheric neutrino fluxes and neutrino oscillations at LSND [6]. Therefore, it is of utmost importance and considerable interest that accurate predictions of the seesaw mechanism are made available at low energies. For the first time, Bludmann, Kennedy and Langacker (BKL) [7] found that C_{ν_i} 's deviate drastically from unity due to radiative corrections. Very significant refinements using semi-analytic and numerical methods, including renormalisation of Yukawa couplings and neutrino-mass operator, have been carried out in SUSY [8] and nonSUSY SO(10) [8-9]. In this work, we derive low-energy formulas for neutrino masses, analytically, in SUSY unified theories demonstrating, for the first time, the existence of a new hierarchical relation among them. We also estimate the impact of such a new hierarchy on neutrino-mass ratio predic-

tions for interesting regions of $\tan\beta$ corresponding to $t-b-\tau$ and $b-\tau$ Yukawa unification models. In the small $\tan\beta$ region the mass ratios are found to be very significantly dependent upon $\tan\beta$.

The derivation of analytic formulas is carried out using the renormalisation group equations (RGEs) at one-loop level for gauge couplings ($g_i(t)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$), Yukawa couplings ($h_i(t)$) [8,10–12], neutrino mass operator ($K(t)$) [8] and vacuum expectation value (VEV) of u -type Higgs doublet ($V_u(t)$) [11] in SUSY standard model embedded in GUTs like SO(10) without [3,10–12] or with an intermediate gauge symmetry [4],

$$\frac{dh_f(t)}{dt} = Oh_f(t), \quad f = u, c, \text{top}, b, \tau \quad (2)$$

$$Oh_{\text{top}} = \frac{h_{\text{top}}}{16\pi^2} \left[6h_{\text{top}}^2 + h_b^2 - \sum_{i=1}^3 C_i g_i^2 \right] \quad (3)$$

$$Oh_b = \frac{h_b}{16\pi^2} \left[6h_b^2 + h_\tau^2 + h_{\text{top}}^2 - \sum_{i=1}^3 C'_i g_i^2 \right] \quad (4)$$

$$Oh_\tau = \frac{h_\tau}{16\pi^2} \left[4h_\tau^2 + 3h_b^2 - \sum_{i=1}^3 C''_i g_i^2 \right] \quad (5)$$

$$Oh_{u,c} = \frac{h_{u,c}}{16\pi^2} \left[3h_{\text{top}}^2 - \sum_{i=1}^3 C_i g_i^2 \right] \quad (6)$$

$$C_i = \left(\frac{13}{15}, 3, \frac{16}{3} \right)$$

$$C'_i = \left(\frac{7}{15}, 3, \frac{16}{3} \right)$$

$$C''_i = \left(\frac{9}{5}, 3, 0 \right)$$

$$\frac{dg_i}{dt} = \frac{b_i g_i^3}{16\pi^2}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

$$b_i = \left(\frac{33}{5}, 1, -3 \right) \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{dK}{dt} = \frac{K}{16\pi^2} \left[6h_{\text{top}}^2 + 2h_\tau^2 - \frac{6}{5}g_1^2 - 6g_2^2 \right] \quad (8)$$

In eqs.(2)–(8), $i = 1, 2, 3$ correspond to the gauge groups $U(1)_Y$, $SU(2)_L$, and $SU(3)_C$ of the SUSY standard model assumed to hold for $\mu \geq m_{\text{top}} = M_{\text{SUSY}}$. The variable $t = \ln \mu$ is the natural logarithm of the scale parameter μ . We include scale ($t = \ln \mu$) dependence in the Yukawa couplings [8,10-12] as well as the vacuum expectation value [11] in the definition of the quark masses,

$$m_{u,c,\text{top}}(t) = \frac{h_{u,c,\text{top}}(t)V_u(t)}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (9)$$

Using eq.(9) and evolving K from the higher mass scale $\mu = M_X$ to $\mu = \mu_0 = m_{\text{top}}$, the renormalized coefficients in the seesaw formula are,

$$m_{\nu_i}(t_0) = C_{\nu_i}(t_0) \frac{m_i^2(t_0)}{M_N}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \quad (10)$$

$$C_{\nu_i}(t_0) = \left[\frac{h_{\text{top},c,u}(t_x)}{h_{\text{top},c,u}(t_0)} \right]^2 \left[\frac{K(t_0)}{K(t_x)} \right] \quad (11)$$

where $t_0 = \ln m_{\text{top}}$ and $t_x = \ln M_X$. It is to be noted that, the running VEV[11] cancels out from in eq.(11) yielding the same renormalization as noted in ref.[8]. Now using RGEs (2)–(8), we obtain in a straight forward manner,

$$C_{\nu_\tau}(t_0) = R(t_0) e^{(6I_{\text{top}}+2I_b-2I_\tau)} \quad (12)$$

$$C_{\nu_{\mu,e}}(t_0) = R(t_0) e^{-2I_\tau} \quad (13)$$

$$R(t_0) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \left[\frac{\alpha_i(t_x)}{\alpha_i(t_0)} \right]^{\frac{d_i}{b_i}},$$

$$d_i = \left(\frac{-4}{15}, 0, \frac{-16}{3} \right) \quad (14)$$

$$I_f = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int_{(t_0)}^{(t_x)} h_f^2(t) dt, \quad f = \text{top}, b, \tau. \quad (15)$$

In evolving the masses and gauge couplings to scales below $\mu_0 = m_{\text{top}}$, we ignore negligible contribution to C_{ν_i} between the scales M_Z and m_{top} . Below $\mu = M_Z$ ($t_z = \ln M_Z$), the renormalisation of the quark masses, m_c and m_u , occur due to the presence of the gauge symmetry $SU(3)_C \times U(1)_{em}$ leading to well-known QCD–QED rescaling factors [11],

$$\eta_u \simeq \frac{m_u(0)}{m_u(t_0)}, \quad \eta_c \simeq \frac{m_c(t_c)}{m_c(t_0)}. \quad (16)$$

We then obtain

$$m_{\nu_\mu}(t_c) \simeq C_{\nu_\mu}(t_c) \frac{m_c^2(t_c)}{M_N} \quad (17)$$

$$m_{\nu_e}(0) \simeq C_{\nu_e}(0) \frac{m_u^2(0)}{M_N} \quad (18)$$

where

$$C_{\nu_\mu}(t_c) = \frac{R(t_0)}{\eta_c^2} e^{-2I_\tau} \quad (19)$$

$$C_{\nu_e}(0) = \frac{R(t_0)}{\eta_u^2} e^{-2I_\tau} \quad (20)$$

In eqs.(16)–(20), $t_c = \ln m_c$ and $t_1 = \ln \mu_1 = 0$ for $\mu_1 = 1\text{GeV}$. The quantities in the R.H.S. of (12) have negligible contributions due to renormalisation effects carried down to lower energies, $\mu = \mu_1 = 1\text{GeV}$ ($t = t_1 = 0$) although those in (13) change significantly. Combining eqs.(10)–(20), we obtain the low energy formulas for the left-handed Majorana neutrinos of three generations,

$$m_{\nu_\tau} = R e^{(6I_{\text{top}} + 2I_b - 2I_\tau)} \frac{m_{\text{top}}^2}{M_N} \quad (21)$$

$$m_{\nu_\mu} = \frac{R}{\eta_c^2} e^{-2I_\tau} \frac{m_c^2}{M_N} \quad (22)$$

$$m_{\nu_e} = \frac{R}{\eta_u^2} e^{-2I_\tau} \frac{m_u^2}{M_N} \quad (23)$$

where $R = R(t_0)$ as defined in (14) and the masses occurring on the R.H.S. are the low-energy values. The formulas (21)–(23) predict low energy values of neutrino masses, analytically, in a large class of SUSY unified theories including SO(10) which can be compared with the experimental values. If the right-handed neutrino masses are nondegenerate, these formulas can be easily generalised by replacing M_N by M_{N_i} for the i th generation in eqs. (21)–(23). But independent of any assumed value of the degenerate right-handed neutrino mass, the formulas predict a new hierarchical relation,

$$m_{\nu_\tau} : m_{\nu_\mu} : m_{\nu_e} :: m_{\text{top}}^2 \exp(6I_{\text{top}} + 2I_b) : (m_c^2/\eta_c^2) : (m_u^2/\eta_u^2) \quad (24)$$

Using the experimentally observed values of the quark masses m_u , m_c and $m_{\text{top}} = 174\text{GeV}$, the CERN–LEP measurements, and η_u and η_c [12], we have evaluated the central values and uncertainties of C_{ν_i} ($i = e, \mu, \tau$), for different values of $\tan \beta = \frac{V_u}{V_d}$, the ratio of VEVs of the u -type and d -type Higgs doublets. The details of such analyses and their applications to different SO(10) models will be reported elsewhere. It is quite clear that the low-energy predictions on neutrino masses depend crucially on the nature and values of Yukawa couplings between m_{top} and M_X . For example, if the Yukawa couplings increase at faster rate in certain GUTs, which may occur due to appearance of new degrees of freedom at higher mass scales, the new contributions to integrals over squares of Yukawa couplings, are likely to predict neutrino masses different from conventional SUSY SO(10). However, here we confine to numerical results on the relative hierarchy between m_{ν_τ} and m_{ν_μ} or m_{ν_e} in conventional SUSY GUTs, which do not depend upon the arbitrariness on M_N ,

$$\frac{m_{\nu_\tau}}{m_{\nu_\mu}} = \frac{m_{\text{top}}^2}{m_c^2} \eta_c^2 e^{(6I_{\text{top}} + 2I_b)} \quad (25)$$

$$\frac{m_{\nu_\tau}}{m_{\nu_e}} = \frac{m_{\text{top}}^2}{m_u^2} \eta_u^2 e^{(6I_{\text{top}} + 2I_b)} \quad (26)$$

It may be recognised that the second and third factors in the R.H.S. of (25)–(26) are due to radiative corrections from M_X down to low energies, corresponding to the ratios,

$$\frac{C_{\nu_\tau}}{C_{\nu_\mu}} = \eta_c^2 e^{(6I_{\text{top}}+2I_b)}$$

$$\frac{C_{\nu_\tau}}{C_{\nu_e}} = \eta_u^2 e^{(6I_{\text{top}}+2I_b)} \quad (27)$$

For larger values of $\tan \beta$ corresponding to $t - b - \tau$ Yukawa unification in supergrand desert models, the Yukawa couplings at the GUT scale and hence the values of I_b , I_τ , and I_{top} change slowly with $\tan \beta$. The value of $\exp [6I_{\text{top}}]$ almost remains constant giving rise to $C_{\nu_\tau}/C_{\nu_e} \simeq 15 - 20$ and $m_{\nu_\tau}/m_{\nu_e} \simeq (2 - 3) \times 10^{10}$ for $\tan \beta = 10 - 59$. As compared to the BKL value[7] of $m_{\nu_\tau}/m_{\nu_e} \simeq 9.2 \times 10^9$, the enhancement in the hierarchy in this region is only 2-2.5. But as $\tan \beta$ decreases and approaches the value of 1.7, as in $b - \tau$ unification models with a super grand desert, $h_{\text{top}}(M_X)$ and hence I_{top} increase with the decrease of $\tan \beta$ while $h_b(M_X)$, h_τ , I_b and I_τ are negligible. Thus, we find that, for smaller values of $\tan \beta \simeq 1.8$, $I_{\text{top}} = 0.4$ and the ratio (m_{ν_τ}/m_{ν_e}) has a substantial contribution due to the rise of the top quark Yukawa coupling near the unification scale which leads to the central value of $C_{\nu_\tau}/C_{\nu_e} \simeq 83.6$ and $m_{\nu_\tau}/m_{\nu_e} \simeq 1.1 \times 10^{11}$. These may be compared the results of BKL[7] corresponding to $C_{\nu_\tau}/C_{\nu_e} \simeq 7.6$ and $m_{\nu_\tau}/m_{\nu_e} \simeq 9.2 \times 10^9$. Whereas in the large $\tan \beta$ region, our enhancement factor is only 2-3, for smaller $\tan \beta = 1.8$, the enhancement factor is as large as $\simeq 10$. Similar features are also noted in intermediate scale SUSY SO(10) model corresponding to $M_X = 10^{13} \text{ GeV}$ where the intermediate gauge symmetry breaks down to the standard gauge group giving large mass to M_N [4]. When the ratio m_{ν_τ}/m_{ν_μ} is examined as a function of $\tan \beta$, similar type of enhancements are noted. For example, in the supergrand desert type models ($M_X \simeq 2 \times 10^{16} \text{ GeV}$), $C_{\nu_\tau}/C_{\nu_\mu} \simeq 65$ and $m_{\nu_\tau}/m_{\nu_\mu} \simeq 1.2 \times 10^6$ for $\tan \beta = 1.8$, exhibiting an enhancement of hierarchy by a factor $\simeq 15$ over the BKL value[7] of $m_{\nu_\tau}/m_{\nu_\mu} \simeq 8.1 \times 10^4 \text{ GeV}$. The details of evaluation of neutrino masses and mass ratios including uncertainties, as a function of intermediate scales and $\tan \beta$ would be reported elsewhere.

In summary we have obtained analytic formulas for left-handed Majorana- neutrino masses at low energies demonstrating, for the first time, the existence of a new hierarchy

between the neutrino masses which depends quite significantly upon $\tan \beta$ in the region of smaller values of this parameter. These results hold true in a large class of models including SO(10). We suggest that these formulas be used while estimating neutrino mass predictions in unified theories with a degenerate right-handed Majorana neutrino mass. The formulas can be easily generalised for nondegenerate right-handed neutrino masses.

After this work was completed, the contents of ref.[13] was brought to our notice where the renormalization for m_{ν_τ} has been discussed, but to prove the new hierarchy low energy formulas for all the three neutrino masses, as demonstrated here, are essential.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

One of us (M.K.P) thanks Professor R.N.Mohapatra for useful discussions and to Dr.K.S.Babu for useful comments and bringing ref.[13] to our notice. The authors acknowledge support from the Department of Science and Technology, New Delhi through the research project No. SP/S2/K-09/91.

REFERENCES

- [1] For a review see W.de Boer, Prog.Part.Nucl.Phys. **33**, 201 (1994).
- [2] P.Langacker and M.Luo, Phys.Rev.D **44**, 817 (1991); U.Amaldi, W.de Boer and H.Furstenau, Phys.Lett.B **260**, 447 (1991).
- [3] S.Dimopoulos, L.J.Hall and S.Raby, Phys.Rev.Lett. **68**, 1984 (1992); Phys.Rev.D **45**, 4192 (1992); G.Anderson, S.Dimopoulos, L.J.Hall, S.Raby and G.Starkman, Phys.Rev.D **49**, 3660 (1994); K.S.Babu and R.N.Mohapatra, Phys.Rev.Lett. **74** 2418 (1994); *ibid.* **70**, 2845 (1993).
- [4] Dae-Gyu Lee and R.N.Mohapatra, Phys.Rev.D **52**, 4125 (1995); M.Bando, J.Sato and T.Takashashi, Phys.Rev.D **52**, 3076 (1995); M.K.Parida, ICTP Report IC/96/33, Phys.Rev.D (to be published).
- [5] M.Gell-Mann, P.Ramond and R.Slansky, in Supergravity, Proceeding of the Workshop, Stony Brook, New York 1997, eds. P.Van Nieuwenhuizen and D.Freedman (North Holland, Amsterdam, 1980); T.Yanagida, in Proceedings of the Workshop on Unified Theory and Baryon Number of the Universe, eds. O.Sawada and A.Sugamoto, (KEK, Tsukuba) 95(1979); R.N.Mohapatra and G.Senjanovic, Phys.Rev.Lett. **44**, 912 (1980); Phys.Rev.D **23**, 165 (1981).
- [6] For a recent review see J.Brunner, CERN Report, CERN-PPI/97 - 38 (1997) (unpublished).
- [7] S.A.Bludmann, D.C.Kennedy and P.G.Langacker, Nucl.Phys. **B374**, 373 (1992).
- [8] K.S.Babu, C.N.Leung and J.Pantaleone, Phys.Lett.B **319**, 191 (1993).
- [9] M.K.Parida and M.Rani, Phys.Lett.B **377**, 89 (1996).
- [10] S.G.Naculich, Phys.Rev.D **48**, 5293 (1993); N.G.Deshpande and E.Keith, Phys.Rev.D **50**, 3513 (1994).

- [11] H.Arason, D.J.Castano, E.J.Piard and P.Ramond, Phys.Rev.D **47**, 232 (1993);
H.Arason, D.J.Castano, B.Keszthelyi, S.Mikaelian, E.J.Piard, P.Ramond and
B.D.Wright, Phys.Rev.D **46**, 3945 (1992).
- [12] V.Barger, M.S.Berger and P.Ohmann,Phys.Rev.D **47**, 1093 (1993).
- [13] K.S.Babu,Q.Y.Liu, and A.Yu.Smirnov,hep-ph/9707457.